

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/721,694 11/25/2003 | | William T. Ball | P06474US3 | 6303 | | |
| 34082 75 | 590 08/14/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| ZARLEY LA | W FIRM P.L.C. | FETSUGA, ROBERT M | | | | |
| CAPITAL SQU 400 LOCUST, | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350 | | | 3751 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 7 | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/721,694 | | BALL, WILLIAM T. | | | | |
| | | T | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Robert M. Fet | | 3751 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appea | ars on the co | ver sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | Idress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca | TE OF THIS (a). In no event, he apply and will expand the applications | COMMUNICATION lowever, may a reply be time pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI | . the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>30 Jun</u> | ne 2006. | | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)∐ | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ction and/or e | election requ | irement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | • | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Exa | ıminer. Note | the attached Office | Action or form P | 10-152. | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: | for foreign p | oriority under | 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| * 4 | application from the Internation | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | • | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or | | 5) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3751

1. Applicant states that this application is a continuation or divisional application of the prior-filed application. A continuation or divisional application cannot include new matter. Applicant is required to change the relationship (continuation or divisional application) to continuation-in-part because this application contains the following matter not disclosed in the prior-filed application: Overflow drain pipe 34 illustrated in Fig 1A of both applications.

The examiner has corrected the bib data sheet associated with the instant application to reflect the continuation-in-part status.

2. The declaration is defective. A new declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. The specification associated with the instant application is different from that acknowledged at the time of execution. See MPEP § 602.

- 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on June 30, 2006 is disapproved as containing new matter. The new structure of the overflow drain pipe 34 is not found in the originally filed disclosure.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Reference characters "37A", "37B", "56", "61", and "68" lack a detailed description; and in the amended

Application/Control Number: 10/721,694

Art Unit: 3751

paragraph on page 4. "beginning with line 7" (sic, line 5), lines 4 and 11, reference to "port 30" appears inaccurate as the threads 31 are associated with pipe 34, and the cap 52 engages pipe 34 (Fig. 3) not end wall 24.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a/b/e) as being anticipated by Minnick.

The Minnick reference discloses an overflow system comprising: a pipe 3; a cap 5 including an outer face 15, a cylindrical body 6 having threads (col. 3 lns. 14-22), and a flange 11; and a sealing membrane 16, as claimed.

Art Unit: 3751

Applicant argues at page 10 of the response filed June 30, 2006 Minnick does not disclose a membrane affixed to an outer face of an annular flange. The examiner can not agree noting lines 41-44 in column 3 of Minnick.

- 7. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 8. The grounds of rejection have been reconsidered in light of applicant's arguments, but are still deemed to be proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,694 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751